

KENTUCKY · OHIO · INDIANA · TENNESSEE · WEST VIRGINIA

October 26, 2010

HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Jeff Derouen
Executive Director
Kentucky Public Service Commission
P.O. Box 615
211 Sower Boulevard
Frankfort, KY 40602

RECEIVED

OCT 26 2010

COMMISSION

Re: PSC Case No. 2010-00265

Dear Mr. Derouen:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission in the above-referenced case an original and ten redacted copies of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC"), to the Commission Staff's Information Requests at Hearing on October 12, 2010. The Petition for Confidential Treatment of Information, originally filed with the Commission on September 7, 2010, also attaches to the enclosed responses. One copy of the designated confidential portions of the responses is enclosed in a sealed envelope.

Very truly yours,

Roger Cowden

Counsel

Enclosures

R. Cowsen by Smn

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

		RECEIVED
In the Matter of:		OCT 26 2010
AN EXAMINATION OF THE)	PUBLIC SERVICE
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL)	COMMISSION
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE,)	CASE NO. 2010-00265
INC. FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2009 THROUGH)	

)

PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT OF INFORMATION

APRIL 30, 2010

Comes now the petitioner, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC") and, as grounds for this Petition for Confidential Treatment of Information (the "Petition"), states as follows:

- 1. This Petition is filed in conjunction with the filing of EKPC's responses to Requests 19 and 20 contained in the Appendix to the Order in this case dated August 13, 2010, and relates to confidential information contained in those responses that is entitled to protection pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and KRS §61.878 (1) (c) 1 and related sections.
- 2. The information designated as confidential in the subject responses includes the identification of coal vendors, and ranking of proposals under EKPC's evaluation process, for all bids submitted to EKPC's written and oral coal solicitations during the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") period under review in this case. The tabulation sheets requested disclose critical information relating to EKPC's current coal pricing and volume of usage, its proposal evaluation procedures, and its coal acquisition strategies.

Allowing potential coal suppliers to access this information could provide them an unfair competitive advantage which could raise EKPC's cost of power production and place it at an unfair competitive disadvantage in the market for surplus bulk power. Disclosure of this information to utilities, independent power producers and power marketers that compete with EKPC for sales in the bulk power market, would allow such competitors to determine EKPC's power production costs for specific periods of time, or under various operating conditions, and to use such information to potentially underbid EKPC in transactions for the sale of surplus bulk power, which would constitute an unfair competitive disadvantage to EKPC.

- 3. Confidential protection has been given by the Commission to the responses to data requests 19 and 20 in past cases by other jurisdictional utilities, based on the same grounds stated herein, and was originally granted to EKPC in PSC Case No. 2007-00523.
- 4. Along with this Petition, EKPC has enclosed one copy of its responses to Requests 19 and 20, with the confidential information identified by highlighting or other designation, and 10 copies of the complete responses, with the confidential information redacted. The identified confidential information is not known outside of EKPC and is distributed within EKPC only to persons with a need to use it for business purposes. It is entitled to confidential treatment pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and KRS §61.878(1)(c) 1, for the reasons stated hereinabove, as information which would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of EKPC if disclosed. The subject information is also entitled to protection pursuant to KRS §61.878(1)(c) 2 c, as records generally recognized as confidential or proprietary which are confidentially disclosed to an agency in conjunction with the regulation of a commercial enterprise.

WHEREFORE, EKPC respectfully requests the Public Service Commission to grant confidential treatment to the identified information and deny public disclosure of said information.

Respectfully submitted,

Roger R. Cowden

Frost Brown Todd LLC

250 West Main Street, Suite 2800

Lexington, KY 40507-1749

(859) 231-0000 - Telephone

(859) 231-0011 - Facsimile

Counsel for East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that an original and 10 copies of the foregoing Petition for Confidential Treatment of Information in the above-styled case were hand-delivered to the Office of Jeffrey Derouen, Executive Director of the Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort Kentucky 40601 on September 7, 2010.

Counsel for East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

T	V	THE	M	A	TTER	OF:
基土	٠,		LV.B.	$\boldsymbol{\Box}$		\cdot

AN EXAMINATION OF THE)	
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL)	
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE,)	CASE NO. 2010-00265
INC. FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2009)	
THROUGH APRIL 30, 2010)	

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF KENTUCKY)
)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Ernest W. Huff, being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to the Public Service Commission Staff Information Requests made at the Hearing held in this case on October 12, 2010, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOVEMBER 30, 2013 NOTARY ID #409352

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	`
AN EXAMINATION OF THE	· ·
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL)
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF EAST) CASE NO 2010 00265
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE,) CASE NO. 2010-00265
INC. FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2009)
THROUGH APRIL 30, 2010)
CERTIFICATI	E
STATE OF KENTUCKY)	
)	
COUNTY OF CLARK)	

Ann F. Wood, being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to the Public Service Commission Staff Information Requests made at the Hearing held in this case on October 12, 2010, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this _______ day of October 2010.

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES NOVEMBER 30, 2013 NOTARY ID #409352

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION OF THE)	
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL)	
ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE,)	CASE NO. 2010-00265
INC. FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2009)	
THROUGH APRIL 30, 2010)	

RESPONSES OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS MADE AT HEARING HELD ON OCTOBER 12, 2010

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PARTY:

Ann F. Wood

Refer to the response to Request 6. How much energy does each member system purchase from an entity other than EKPC and why?

Response 1. Salt River Electric ("Salt River") purchases energy from the Lock 7 hydroelectric project, then resells it to EKPC. The table below reflects the energy purchased for the six-month review period.

Month	kWh Purchased
November	826,331
December	805,499
January	918,567
February	804,186
March	1,186,044
April	1,080,268

Specific questions regarding why the purchase is made would be more appropriately directed to Salt River.

Additionally, Jackson Energy entered into a contract with an independent power producer, Wellhead Energy, to purchase 375 kW. The contract was approved by the Jackson Energy Board of Directors on September 1, 2010. Wellhead Energy has created a "Gridbox" utilizing stranded gas wells as the source of fuel for a combustion engine that generates power; this power is put directly onto Jackson Energy's distribution system. This installation is not operational to date but is expected to be on-line by the end of 2010. Jackson Energy negotiated a purchase price with Wellhead Energy that is less than EKPC's wholesale rate.



PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Request 2. Refer to the response to Request 19(a)(b), page 3 of 24.

Request 2a. What parameters are set by EKPC regarding supplier diversity?

Response 2a. EKPC has no firm set parameters regarding supplier diversification but evaluates the following factors in the process of supplier selection:

- 1. Supplier's past and current performance for EKPC and, if known, other buyers.
- 2. Supplier's current production capabilities and the amount of that production that is committed to other buyers.
- 3. Supplier's permitted reserves.
- 4. The number of capable, available suppliers for the plant for which coal is being sourced.

Request 2b. Is there a particular percentage limit from a single supplier?

Response 2b. No. While there is no firm specific percentage limit for a single supplier, evaluations are performed using the criteria stated in the response to Request 2(a).

Request 2c. If the third supplier from the bottom were selected, what percentage would that make the supplier have at Cooper?

Response 2c. The percentage would be approximately 43%.

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Refer to the response to Request 19(a)(b), page 5 of 24. On the third supplier from the top, what was the reason for the recommendation?

Response 3. The bid received from the third supplier from the top resulted in a purchase. This contract was recommended at a later date (approved at the May Board of Directors meeting) as a result of finalizing certain terms and conditions.

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 4

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Refer to the response to Request 19(a)(b), page 9 of 24. On the third supplier from the top, there are no written comments, yet a PO number is listed. If this coal was selected, was this selected prior to the selection of other bidders?

Response 4. Yes. This coal was selected prior to the selection of other bidders. This purchase order was approved by the Fuel and Power Supply Committee of the EKPC Board of Directors at its April board meeting. The selection of other suppliers included with this bid did not occur until the May Board meeting.

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 5

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Request 5. Refer to the response to Request 19(a)(b), page 9 of 24. On the fourth supplier from the top, please provide more information on performance issues.

Response 5. EKPC experienced significant performance issues related to the contractually required quality of coal to be delivered pursuant to the terms of a purchase order entered into with this supplier during the second quarter of 2009.

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 6

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Request 6. Refer to the Response to Request 19(a)(b), page 20 of 24. On the second supplier from the bottom, please elaborate on the notation.

Response 6. This supplier is a small independent coal operator. While EKPC entered into small, short term purchase orders with this supplier, EKPC does not feel that the supplier qualifies for larger, longer term commitments considering the evaluative factors set forth in the response to Request 2a, including a lack of proven reserves and limited production capabilities.

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 7 RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ernest W. Huff

Refer to the Response to Request 20 (a)(b), page 2 of 5. For the three solicitations reflecting an "Economy Purchase No Tabulation", please provide the name of the supplier and the price paid by EKPC.

The tabulation sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled at a delivered price of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet labeled "Emergency Purchase" was from the sheet labeled that additional transportation expenses of the sheet l

PSC CASE NO. 2010-00265 FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUESTS

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUESTS AT HEARING ON 10/12/10 REQUEST 8

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ann F. Wood

Refer to the March 2010 fuel adjustment clause filing with the Commission. Certain pages are titled March 2010 and certain pages are titled March 2009. Please confirm that each page should read March 2010? If that is correct, please provide a revised copy.

Response 8. Each page should read March 2010. The revised March 2010 FAC filing, reflecting the corrected dates, is provided on pages 2 through 5 of this response.

	FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE SCHEDULE
Month Ended	MARCH 2010
	FAC Factor*
Fuel Fm (Fuel Cost Schedule)	\$24,506,485 = = (+) \$0.02336
Sales Sm (Sales Schedule)	1,049,174,877
Fuel (Fb)	\$38,507,736
Solos (Sh)	= = (-) \$0.03653 1,054,149,573
Sales (Sb)	(\$0.01317)
Effective Date for Billing	MAY 2010
Submitted by	Ayalan J. Jolius
(Signature)	GRAHAM JOHNS
Title	MANAGER OF ACCOUNTING

Company

Date Submitted

APRIL 20, 2010

^{* (}Five decimal places in dollars or three decimal places in cents - normal rounding)

Company	EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE			
	FUEL COST SCHEDULE			
Month Ended	MARCH 2010			
(A) Company Gen	eration			
Fuel (As	ned	(+) (+) (+) (+) (+)	\$25,050,926 166,999 (822,438) 0 0	
(B) Purchases			\$24,395,486	
Identifia	rgy Cost - Economy Purchases able Fuel Cost - Other Purchases able Fuel Cost - (Substitute for F.O.)	(+) (+) (-)	\$3,764,752 0 0	
			\$3,764,752	
Subtot	al	-	\$28,160,237	
(C) Inter-System S	Sales	-	*********	
Fuel Co	sts	-	\$1,827,925	
(D) Over or (Unde	r) Recovery from Page 4	-	1,825,827	
Total F	uel Cost (A + B - C - D)	<u>.</u>	\$24,506,485	
*Detail Attached	i			

F.O. = Forced Outage

Page 3 of 4

Company		EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE			
	SAI	LES SCHEDULE			
Month Ended		MARCH 2010			
(A)					
Generation (Net)			(+)	1,033,490,452	
Purchases			(+)	105,361,016	
Inadvertent Interchar	nge In		(+)	6,549,093	
			•	1,145,400,561	
(B)					
Pumped Storage Ene	ergy		(+)	0	
Inter-System Sales In Interchange Out	cluding		(+)	51,700,200	
Inadvertent Interchar	nge Out	t	(+)	409,326	
System Losses			(+)	44,116,158	
			-	96,225,684	
(C)			-	~~~~~	
Total Sales	(A - B - C)		_	1,049,174,877	
	, ,		=		

\$1,825,827

Company	EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERAT	IVE
	OVER OR (UNDER)-RECOVERY SCHEI	DULE
Month Ended 	MARCH 2010	
1. Last FAC Rate Bille	ed (1)	(\$0.00772)
2. KWH Billed at Abov	1,049,174,877	
3. FAC Revenue/(Refu	** (\$8,099,630)	
4. KWH Used to Deter	1,285,680,963	
5. Non-Jurisdictional	(1)	0
Kentucky Jurisdict (Included in L4) (L4		1,285,680,963
7. Recoverable FAC F (L1 x L6)	Revenue/(Refund)	(\$9,925,457)
8. Over or (Under) Re		\$1,825,827
9. Total Sales (Page 3	•	1,049,174,877
10. Kentucky Jurisdic	(1) tional Sales	1,049,174,877
11. Ratio of Total Sale Jurisdictional Sales	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
12. Total Company Ov		£4 005 007

(L8 x L11) (Page 2, Line D)

^{**}FAC Revenue/(Refund) on Line 3 reflects actual amount charged or credited due